



UNIVERSITY OF
TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW



FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume III

Brenda Cossman and Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2006 - 2007

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*

Storage

**KE
538.5
.C672
2006
v.3
c.1**

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

AUG 29 2006

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



UNIVERSITY OF
TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume III

Brenda Cossman and Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2006 - 2007

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

FAMILY LAW

Table of Contents

Volume III

Page No.

VII. SPOUSAL SUPPORT

A. The Principles of Spousal Support

(a) The Historical Basis of Spousal Support

Eekelaar and Maclean, *Maintenance After Divorce* 499

(b) Modern Spousal Support Law—The Search for New Principles

Eekelaar and Maclean, “The Evolution of Private Law
Maintenance Obligations” 500

LRCC, *Maintenance on Divorce* 501

Rogerson, “Spousal Support After *Moge*” 504

Rogerson, “The Causal Connection Test in Spousal Support Law” 506

B. Spousal Support Under the *Divorce Act*, 1985

(a) Some Preliminary Issues of Jurisdiction and Standing

Note 511

(b) The Rise of the Clean Break Principle and the Causal Connection Test

Rogerson, “Spousal Support After *Moge*” 513

Messier v. Delage 514

Note: The Supreme Court of Canada “Trilogy” 517

Pelech v. Pelech 517

Richardson v. Richardson 527

(c) The Law Shifts: *Moge v. Moge*

Moge v. Moge 535

(d) *Bracklow v. Bracklow* and Non-Compensatory (Needs-Based) Support

Rogerson, “The Canadian Law of Spousal Support” 553

Bracklow v. Bracklow 555

Rogerson, “The Canadian Law of Spousal Support” 567

(e) The Current Law of Spousal Support in Practice

Rogerson, “The Canadian Law of Spousal Support” 569

<i>Ross v. Ross</i>	573
Note: <i>MacNeil v. MacNeil</i>	577
<i>Leek v. Lightfoot</i>	578
<i>Morison v. Morison</i>	580
<i>Bildy v. Bildy</i>	584
<i>Andrews v. Andrews</i>	586

(f) Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines

Rogerson, “The Canadian Law of Spousal Support”	593
Rogerson and Thompson, “Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines: A Draft Proposal”, Executive Summary	595

VIII. DOMESTIC CONTRACTS

A. Introduction: Contracting in the Family Context

<i>Balfour v. Balfour</i>	600
<i>Harper’s Magazine</i> , “Conditional Love”	600
O’Donovan, “Reforming the Private” from <i>Sexual Divisions</i> <i>in Law</i>	601
Mnookin, “Divorce Bargaining: the Limits on Private Ordering”	604
Bailey, “ <i>Pelch, Caron, and Richardson</i> ”	607
Note: The <i>Pelech</i> Trilogy	608

B. Limits on Contracting

(a) Setting Aside Contracts: General Principles of Contract Law

Hovius, <i>Family Law</i>	610
Note: Unconscionability	611
<i>Hyldtoft v. Hyldtoft</i>	611
<i>Puopolo v. Puopolo</i>	612
<i>Lindsay v. Lindsay</i>	614
<i>Crouse v. Crouse</i>	618
<i>Rosen v. Rosen</i>	622
<i>Leopold v. Leopold</i>	625

(b) Statutory Powers to Set Aside and Vary: Spousal Support

Introductory Note	634
<i>G. (L). v. B. (G).</i>	635
<i>Santosuosso v. Santosuosso</i>	641
<i>Miglin v. Miglin</i>	648

(c) Marriage Contracts and Cohabitation Agreements

<i>Slate v. Slate</i>	679
-----------------------------	-----

Note: <i>Hartshorne v. Hartshorne</i>	680
---	-----

IX. CHILD SUPPORT

A. Introduction: Principles of Child Support

Blown and Milliken, “The Failure of the Legal System to Protect the Legal Rights of Children”	683
Department of Justice, <i>Evaluation of the Divorce Act</i>	684
Eekelaar and Maclean, “The Evolution of Private Law Maintenance Obligations”	685
Eekelaar, “Maintenance and Children” from <i>Family Law and Social Policy</i>	686
<i>Paras v. Paras</i>	688
Rogerson, “Judicial Interpretation of the Spousal and Child Support Provisions of the Divorce Act”	689
<i>Lucas v. Lucas</i>	692

B. The Child Support Guidelines

(a) Introduction and Overview

Federal/Provincial/Territorial Family Law Committee, <i>Summary Report and Recommendations on Child Support</i>	695
Government of Canada, <i>The New Child Support Package</i> , (March 6, 1996).....	697
Note: Federal and Provincial Guidelines	701

(b) High Earners: Incomes over \$150,000

<i>Francis v. Baker</i>	703
Note: Subsequent Cases on High Earners	716

(c) Determining Income

<i>Odendahl v. Brule</i>	718
<i>Montgomery v. Montgomery</i>	721
<i>Drygala v. Pauli</i>	725

(d) Section 7—Special and Extraordinary Expenses

<i>Middleton v. MacPherson</i>	731
<i>McLaughlin v. McLaughlin</i>	741
Note: <i>Andrews v. Andrews</i>	758
Note: Proposed Changes to Section 7 Expenses in Five Year Review	758

(e) Shared Custody—Section 9

Introductory Note	758
<i>Green v. Green</i>	766
Note: <i>Slade v. Slade</i>	770

	Note: Proposed Changes to the Shared Custody Provisions.....	771
(f)	Undue Hardship—Section 10	
	<i>Schenkeveld v. Shenkeveld</i>	771
	<i>Gaetz v. Gaetz</i>	775
	Thompson, “Case Comment: <i>Gaetz v. Gaetz</i> ”	780
	Note: Proposed Changes to Section 10 in Five Year Review	782
(g)	Contracting Out of the Guidelines	
	Introductory Note	782
	<i>Wright v. Zaver</i>	783
C.	Entitlement to Child Support: Who is a Parent? Who is a Child?	
(a)	Children Over the Age of Majority	
	<i>Baker v. Baker</i>	799
	<i>Martell v. Height</i>	803
	<i>Chaban v. Chaban</i>	805
	<i>Duncan v. Duncan</i>	806
	<i>Anderson v. Anderson</i>	809
	Note: Charter Challenges to the Definition of “Child of the Marriage”	812
	Note: Assessing Support for Adult Children Under the Guidelines.....	812
	<i>Michie v. Michie</i>	813
(b)	<i>In Loco Parentis</i>	
	<i>Primeau v. Primeau</i>	816
	Note: <i>Ogden v. Anderson</i>	821
	<i>Chartier v. Chartier</i>	821
	Note: Assessing Support Against a Step-parent Under the Guidelines.....	830

